



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/579,641 | 12/08/2006 | John Nelson | 36290-0413-00-US | 9389 |
| 23973 | 7590 | 06/26/2008 | EXAMINER | |
| DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH | | | HA, JULIE | |
| ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP | | | | |
| ONE LOGAN SQUARE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS | | | | 1654 |
| PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-6996 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| | | 06/26/2008 PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/579,641 | NELSON ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JULIE HA | 1654 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JULIE HA. (3) ____.

(2) Bronwen Loeb. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: ____.

Identification of prior art discussed: ____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Loeb called to clarify the species election. The Examiner has required a restriction requirement that also required a species election. The species requirement would be vacated, since after reviewing the specification, there was no species of a specific sequence having different linker peptides that can be elected from.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Anish Gupta/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1654

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.